

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/578,361	Applicant(s) YAMANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner SARIRA POURBOHLOUL	Art Unit 4151	

All Participants:

(1) SARIRA POURBOHLOUL.

(2) Attorney W. Douglas Hahm.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
*Restriction of claims into two groups :
Group I and group II.*

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Hahm did not elect on the phone and requested a written restriction requirement.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)